1 2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

DELEGATE CHILD: Mr. Chairman, I rise to speak against the amendment for the simple reason that Delegate Willoner's amendment is really re-writing the section which is now Section 8, so that we have been asked to remove a section, which is Section 8, without really getting the combined and last judgment of the Committee on it.

I think that the Committee ought to look at Section 8 which is Delegate Willoner's amendment which is a substitute for Section 8 before they vote Section 8 down.

Now, I will agree that we recognize that this rule has been abused in the Willoner amendment and we give the Court of Appeals the right to limit that rule and we had in mind that the Court of Appeals if a party wanted to move a civil case should give notice so many days before the case is set for trial so that there would be no surprise on the other side and no injustice done, but I don't think that we should eliminate Section 8 until we see what Willoner and the other Members of the Committee have agreed upon as a substitute for Section 8.

> For that reason, I oppose the Scanlan amendment. DELEGATE CLARK: Delegate James.